J. Mountain Res. P-ISSN: 0974-3030, E-ISSN: 2582-5011
Vol. 21(S2), (2026) 240-249
DOI: https://doi.org/10.51220/jmr.v21-S2.30

AI Governance, Corporate Strategy, and the SDGs: Reshaping Global Sustainability

Jayant Pal*

Department of Computer Science & Engineering ,H.N.B. Garhwal University Srinagar Garhwal Uttarakhand 246001

*Corresponding Author's Email Id: jppal7500@gmail.com

Received: 24.02.2025; Revised: 30.10.2025; Accepted:29.11.2025

Abstract: Artificial intelligence (AI) is rapidly reshaping business, industry, and governance by transforming production systems and decision-making. With its powerful predictive analytics and automation, AI offers significant efficiency gains and innovative solutions, positioning it as a potential accelerator for achieving all 17 UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Recent studies even show a positive link between corporate AI adoption and progress on several SDGs, particularly environmental ones. However, concerns persist. Experts caution that unregulated AI could intensify inequality, disrupt employment, and heighten systemic risks. Prominent thinkers such as Hawking and Musk have warned that misaligned super-intelligent AI could pose serious existential threats. Against this backdrop, our inquiry explores whether AI will advance SDG progress or deepen social and ecological challenges. Through three cases—smart water management, the Plant Village crop-disease app, and an AI-based water-quality test—we analyze how AI tools influence sustainable outcomes. We further examine how corporate strategy and public policy can guide AI toward inclusive, responsible development. The discussion highlights the need for ethical governance, cross-sector collaboration, and leadership capable of leveraging AI for societal benefit, offering pathways for globally sustainable and resilient organizations.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence • Sustainable Development Goals • Corporate Governance • Organizational Resilience • Leadership Education • Global Sustainability.

Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) – broadly defined as machine systems that perform tasks requiring human-like cognition – has leapt from science fiction into daily life (Marr 2018). Today's AI ranges from smartphone assistants and search algorithms to advanced robotics and machine learning platforms (Agrawal et al 2018; Marr 2018). However, all existing AI is "narrow": specialized to one domain (e.g. image recognition, language processing) and reliant on human-crafted data and objectives (Agrawal et al 2018). Truly general intelligence that matches human versatility remains theoretical. Nonetheless, even narrow AI is reshaping economies and societies. Firms increasingly deploy AI for data analytics, customer service, and process automation (Schwab 2018). Governments explore AI for public services, urban planning, and national security (National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016). The UN Global Compact observes that by processing vast amounts of information and identifying hidden patterns, AI "can dramatically increase efficiency, reduce waste and enhance monitoring and reporting" in support of human rights, labor, and environmental goals (National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016). In principle, AI could speed progress on all 17 SDGs by improving resource management, health outcomes, infrastructure, and more (Agrawal et al 2018; National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016). Indeed, a recent study finds that companies adopting AI tend to show better performance on environmental SDGs

 DOI: https://doi.org/10.51220/jmr.v21-S2.30

(e.g. clean energy, climate action) than on social goals (Spagnuolo et al 2024).

Yet AI is not inherently benevolent. Its benefits hinge on how it is designed, governed, and used. Biased or opaque algorithms can entrench discrimination; automation can displace workers; and surveillance tools can erode privacy (Kaneshige & Hong 2018; Sainato 2015). The OECD notes that while AI "helps governments automate and tailor public services, improve decision-making, [and] detect fraud," it also carries risks: "skewed data in AI systems can cause harmful decisions; lack of transparency erodes accountability; and overreliance can widen digital divides" (OECD 2019). These concerns extend to the private sector. Critics of the "AI for Social Good" movement argue that without proper checks, AI projects may serve corporate profit more than public interest (Sainato 2015). For example, tech leaders Elon Musk and Stephen Hawking have warned that advanced AI might one day be "the best or worst thing" for humanity, even an existential threat (Penn 2017; Sainato 2015). Such warnings emphasize that economic actors must govern AI development responsibly. In particular, firms that harness AI must align their strategies with global needs: the UN Global Compact explicitly "encourages companies to align AI use with the SDGs" and to embed ethics throughout the AI lifecycle (National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016). This involves transparent governance frameworks, human-centric design, and cross-sector collaboration (OECD 2019).

Against this backdrop, our paper asks: How is AI reshaping corporate strategy and sustainability, and what are the implications for global SDG outcomes? We focus on concrete examples to ground this question. Section 3 outlines our Methodology of case study analysis. Sections 4–6 present three cases where AI interfaces with sustainability: smart water management systems, the PlantVillage agricultural app, and an AI-based clean-water testing project. In each case we link technological capabilities to relevant SDGs and highlight strategic and policy actions. Section 7 discusses broader lessons for AI governance and corporate leadership – and Section 8 considers how management education must adapt. Throughout, we synthesize insights from the academic and practitioner literature, including studies on AI and SDGs, to offer a comprehensive view of AI's dual potential as a catalyst for sustainable development or, if mismanaged, an aggravator of inequality (Agrawal et al 2018; Spagnuolo et al 2024; OECD 2019).

Methodology

This paper adopts a qualitative case-study approach to explore AI's role in sustainable development. We selected three illustrative initiatives spanning different sectors and geographies: (1) AI-driven water management (utility-scale smart water systems), (2) AI for agricultural health (Plant Village's crop-disease app for smallholder farmers), and (3) AI for water quality testing (an AI-powered pathogen detection tool). These cases were chosen for their publicly documented impacts and relevance to key SDGs (especially SDG 6 on clean water, SDG 2 on hunger, and SDG 3 on health) (Cosgrove & Loucks 2015; Lant 2018; Plant Village, n.d.). We draw on primary and secondary sources, including technical reports, news articles, and academic studies, to describe how AI is applied, who the stakeholders are, and what sustainability outcomes are observed or anticipated (Hill 2018; Lant 2018; Lohr 2018). We supplement qualitative description with citations of authoritative analyses. In particular, we reference expert commentary on AI's societal effects and sustainability frameworks, aiming to ground each case in broader theory (Agrawal et al 2018; National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016; OECD, 2019). Finally, we reflect on how these cases inform corporate strategy, governance, and leadership development. While not a comprehensive

 DOI: https://doi.org/10.51220/jmr.v21-S2.30

empirical study, our case-oriented analysis illuminates recurrent patterns: the enabling role of AI, the governance challenges it poses, and the ways that organizations and policymakers can magnify its sustainable benefits (Spagnuolo et al 2024).

Case Study 1: AI and the Water Crisis (Smart Water Management)

Reliable access to clean water is foundational for life and development. Yet many regions suffer from aging infrastructure, inefficient distribution, and climate-induced supply volatility (Cosgrove & Loucks 2015). Smart water management systems leverage AI to address these challenges. As Hill reports, water utilities are now using data-driven AI platforms to replace traditional rule-based decision systems (Hill 2018). Whereas early expert systems struggled with complexity, modern AI can "dynamically adapt and process large amounts of data in real-time" (Hill, 2018). In practical terms, utilities deploy sensors and meters throughout the supply network to feed AI algorithms that learn usage patterns and detect anomalies (Hill 2018). For example, by correlating leak-detection sensors with pressure data and weather forecasts, an AI model can predict where pipes are likely to fail or where demand spikes will occur; the utility can then prioritize repairs or adjust pumping schedules before problems arise (Hill 2018).

This adaptive learning approach yields multiple benefits. Hill notes that AI in water management allows utility managers "to maximize current revenue and effectively plan for the years ahead" by choosing whether to seek new supply or to optimize existing capacity (Hill 2018). In many cases, smart optimization is more cost-effective than building new infrastructure. By using AI together with cloud-based analytics and affordable IoT networks, managers can fine-tune operations: they can reduce water loss from leaks, improve real-time billing accuracy, and schedule maintenance more efficiently (Hill 2018). Advanced planning algorithms can even simulate long-term infrastructure investments by combining projected urban growth with future water availability estimates (Hill 2018). In short, AI injects "imagination" into water planning, enabling managers to explore scenarios and investments that were previously too complex to handle (Hill 2018; OECD 2019).

Importantly, these technical gains translate directly into SDG progress. Improving water system efficiency and reliability advances SDG 6 (Clean Water and Sanitation) (Cosgrove & Loucks 2015; National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016). The UN Compact notes that AI can "detect leaks, optimize usage and improve water treatment facilities," all of which reduce waste and broaden access to safe water (National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016). More efficient utilities also contribute indirectly to SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities) by building resilient urban infrastructure (Cosgrove & Loucks 2015). There are health implications too: better water service prevents waterborne diseases (supporting SDG 3: Good Health) and reduces pressure on fragile ecosystems (SDG 14: Life Below Water) (Cosgrove & Loucks 2015).

However, the technology has limits. AI is only as good as its data. Reliable sensor data, robust connectivity, and cybersecurity are prerequisites (Hill 2018; OECD 2019). Hill cautions that AI is "not a fire-and-forget solution." Without adequate data, models cannot learn accurately (Hill, 2018). Moreover, as AI automates analyses, human experts must adapt: utility engineers are needed to interpret AI outputs and to ask the right strategic questions (Hill, 2018). Indeed, Hill argues that while machines can do heavy computational lifting, "interpreting the outcome becomes increasingly important" (Hill 2018). In other words, AI augments but does not fully replace human decision-makers. This interplay of human and machine is a critical point for corporate governance: firms must maintain skilled staff who can oversee AI systems, validate their reasoning, and intervene when outputs seem counterintuitive (OECD 2019).

In practice, leading utilities are heeding these lessons. Firms invest not only in AI software but also in organizational capacity (data teams, cross-department processes) to use AI effectively (OECD 2019). The OECD similarly emphasizes that high-performing organizations combine "a skilled workforce" with governance frameworks to manage AI's trade-offs (OECD 2019). Aligning smart water initiatives with corporate strategy thus involves building human–AI teams guided by sustainability goals. When done right, AI-driven water management significantly furthers SDG 6 and related goals. For example, automating leak detection and dynamic billing conserves water (supporting SDG 6) while also stabilizing utility finances (supporting SDG 8: Decent Work & Growth) (Hill 2018; OECD 2019).

Artificial intelligence and the SDGs:

Different types of artificial intelligence have been integrated into the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), first via experimental approaches and subsequently through initiatives aimed at promoting sustainable management and leadership (Agrawal et al., 2018; National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016). This study aims to analyze three of these initiatives: The use of artificial intelligence (AI) in addressing the water crisis involves the implementation of intelligent water management systems. These systems are designed to mimic the learning capabilities of people in dynamic environments. By doing so, they enhance the efficiency of decision-making processes and investments in water management infrastructure (Hill 2018; Cosgrove & Loucks 2015). The use of artificial intelligence (AI) in the agricultural sector has been explored by PlantVillage, an effort focused on research and development, with its main base of operations located at Penn State University (PlantVillage, n.d.). This project aims to harness the capabilities of AI to benefit small-scale producers, namely those that cultivate land areas of 2 hectares or less. It is worth noting that these small-scale producers play a significant role in the production of food within developing nations (Lohr 2018). The utilization of artificial intelligence (AI) by Peter Ma in assessing the integrity of water systems holds significant potential for municipalities and individuals alike (Lant 2018). This innovative approach allows for the identification of water sources contaminated with waterborne diseases, as well as the mapping of bacteria and potentially viruses present in these waters (Lant 2018). Moreover, this AI-driven testing method offers a cost-effective solution to address these concerns (Lant 2018).

Case Study 2: AI and Agriculture – the PlantVillage App

Agriculture in the Global South faces acute challenges: smallholder farmers often lack access to modern extension services, risking crop failures from pests and disease (Lohr 2018). Digital technologies offer a leapfrogging opportunity. The PlantVillage project (a collaboration led by Penn State University) exemplifies how AI can empower farmers at scale (Plant Village, n.d.). Its mobile app, named "Nuru" (Swahili for "light"), uses on-device machine learning to diagnose plant diseases from photos. A farmer simply snaps a picture of a leaf with her smartphone; the app's AI compares it against a trained neural network and returns a likely disease match, along with treatment advice (Plant Village, n.d.; Brewster 2016). This kind of image-recognition was once too computationally heavy for cheap phones, but by leveraging TensorFlow and lightweight AI models, Nuru achieves high accuracy on common crop afflictions (Brewster, 2016).

The real-world impact can be profound. Cassava – a staple crop in sub-Saharan Africa – feeds an estimated 500 million people.

J. Mountain Res. P-ISSN: 0974-3030, E-ISSN: 2582-5011
Vol. 21(S2), (2026) 240-249
DOI: https://doi.org/10.51220/jmr.v21-S2.30

Cassava diseases like mosaic virus can slash yields by 40% or more (Lohr 2018). By detecting symptoms early, Nuru helps farmers treat outbreaks before they devastate fields. According to project leads, this democratization of knowledge is especially crucial for women farmers: “a smallholder farmer can have access to the latest technology and human expertise in the palm of her hand” (Plant Village, n.d.). Worldwide data show that small farms (under 2 hectares) produce a large share of food in low-income countries, yet they often lack training in pest management (Lohr 2018). AI apps like Nuru aim to close this gap. They serve SDG 2 (Zero Hunger) by boosting food security and SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure) by applying cutting-edge tech to primary production (Lohr 2018).

Beyond disease diagnosis, PlantVillage’s efforts intersect with other SDGs. By improving yields without expanding farmland, AI-supported farming eases pressure on ecosystems (SDG 15: Life on Land). By placing data and tools in farmers’ hands, it fosters economic inclusion (SDG 10: Reduced Inequalities). Notably, the project is gender-sensitive: training female farmers on the app targets SDG 5 (Gender Equality), since women do most of the farming in many developing regions but often have the least access to tech (PlantVillage, n.d.).

Of course, technology is not a panacea. Experts caution that AI misidentification could lead to misapplication of pesticides or delayed responses. A researcher not affiliated with the project notes that human vetting remains important for high-stakes cases (e.g. large-scale farms) (Brewster, 2016). Nevertheless, the PlantVillage case shows how AI can scale advisory services: what once required costly field extension agents can now potentially reach millions via smartphones (PlantVillage, n.d.). It also highlights a new paradigm in corporate strategy: universities and tech firms are collaborating on “AI4SDG” initiatives that align profit motives with development goals. In this case, Google and Intel have supported the project, illustrating a model where private-sector AI expertise is mobilized for global need (PlantVillage, n.d.).

Case Study 3: AI, Sanitation, and Health – the Clean Water AI Test

Clean drinking water is fundamental to public health (SDG 6 & SDG 3), yet many regions lack rapid, affordable water testing (Cosgrove & Loucks 2015; Lant 2018). The Clean Water AI initiative, developed by Intel Software Innovator Peter Ma, provides a striking example of grassroots AI innovation. In a global hackathon focused on clean water, Ma built a portable AI microscope system that can detect bacterial contamination (e.g. E. coli) in real time (Lant, 2018). The device uses an off-the-shelf digital microscope attached to a small computer (running Linux) with an Intel Movidius Neural Compute Stick (an AI accelerator). A simple sample of water is placed under the microscope; the camera streams images to the computer, where a convolutional neural network (CNN) identifies bacteria by their shape. The system can then display an alert and map contamination locally (Lant 2018).

Several features make Clean Water AI notable. First, it operates offline and in real time – crucial for remote or under-resourced areas (Lant 2018). Second, the core components are inexpensive: Ma reports that the entire prototype can be built for under $500. This low cost contrasts sharply with traditional lab tests, which require expensive instruments and reagents (Lant 2018). Third, it democratizes data: by geotagging each device, communities could crowd source monitoring of their water sources. In essence, AI is used not just by experts but by local citizens to safeguard a basic necessity (Lant 2018). Theoretically, a network of such devices could warn entire towns or cities about brewing epidemics of waterborne disease (e.g. cholera outbreaks) (Lant 2018).

By enhancing real-time water safety, this tool advances SDG 6 (safe water) and SDG 3 (health). Early detection of pathogens saves lives. It also ties into SDG 11 (sustainable cities) when municipalities install these devices at reservoirs or wells to continuously check water quality (Lant 2018). The team's hackathon success (winning a $200,000 prize) shows private investors and governments are interested in such scalable solutions. For corporate strategy, Clean Water AI illustrates how even a single innovator within a large tech firm (Intel) can mobilize company resources (AI accelerators, developer networks) for social good projects. It exemplifies the potential for "Open Innovation" models, where corporations nurture employee-led labs to tackle SDGs, blending social responsibility with technology R&D (Lant 2018).

However, challenges remain. The current model detects bacteria; viruses and chemicals require more advanced sensors. Deploying even a $500 device at scale (say, across rural Asia or Africa) still demands infrastructure: distribution, training, maintenance, and data integration with public health systems (Lant 2018). There are also governance questions: Who owns and analyzes the data? How are false positives handled? Addressing these requires corporate and policy strategy: companies might partner with NGOs or governments to roll out devices, while regulators must set standards for AI-driven diagnostics (OECD 2019). Despite these hurdles, Clean Water AI powerfully demonstrates AI's role in sanitation: by lowering costs and expertise barriers, it brings high-tech monitoring to the front lines of sustainability (Lant 2018).

AI Governance and Corporate Strategy

The foregoing cases share common themes about AI governance in sustainable strategy. First, cross-sector collaboration is crucial. In each example, academic teams worked with tech companies or local governments. PlantVillage brings together universities, Google, and farming communities; smart water systems involve utilities, tech vendors, and regulators; Clean Water AI was a hackathon project backed by Intel (Hill, 2018; Lant 2018; PlantVillage, n.d.). This aligns with the UN's recommendation that tackling complex SDGs requires partnerships (SDG 17) and open engagement across stakeholders (National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016) and with evidence that collaborative models accelerate adoption (Spagnuolo et al 2024). In practice, companies now embed SDG-alignment into strategy: for instance, global compacts on climate/SDGs often lead firms to report on how their AI initiatives serve SDGs (National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016).

Second, ethical governance frameworks are needed to manage AI's risks. As the OECD emphasizes, organizations deploying AI must confront trade-offs like bias and accountability (OECD, 2019). Corporate boards are increasingly aware of "AI governance" as part of risk management. For example, many multinational companies now have ethics guidelines for data and AI, and some appoint AI or digital transformation officers (OECD, 2019). The UN Global Compact urges "transparency, accountability and human-centric design" in AI governance (National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016). In our cases, this means ensuring algorithms are trained on inclusive data (to avoid bias) and that AI decisions can be audited. Smart water programs must ensure AI models don't under-serve marginalized areas; agricultural AI must avoid excluding minority crops or languages (Hill 2018; Plant Village, n.d.; OECD, 2019).

Third, there is a strategic tension between AI-driven efficiency gains and equitable outcomes. Ideally, higher productivity (e.g. yields, water supply) benefits all. But the history of technology suggests gains often concentrate with owners of capital (Kaufman 2018; Schwab 2018). The PlantVillage text warns that if AI-driven productivity is not widely shared, the wealthy (who own the tech) could widen the wealth gap (PlantVillage n.d.). Thus corporate strategy must consciously address distribution: for instance, firms could subsidize access to AI tools for poor farmers, or set up data trusts so that benefits accrue to communities as well as companies (National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016; OECD, 2019). Policymakers can nudge this by incentives or regulation, as seen in some countries' push for "ethical AI" legislation (OECD 2019).

Notably, recent empirical research supports careful optimism: Spagnuolo et al. (2024) show AI adoption in practice correlates with better sustainability metrics, with the strongest effects on environmental goals (Spagnuolo et al 2024). This implies that AI-driven process improvements (e.g. energy efficiency, emission tracking) are being realized, possibly because such projects have clear ROI or regulatory support. Social and economic SDGs (inequality, poverty) see more limited gains, suggesting those require additional policy attention (Spagnuolo et al 2024). Corporations can take note: deploying AI for supply chain transparency or circular economy models, for instance, could yield big environmental dividends (Agrawal et al 2018; Spagnuolo et al 2024).

Finally, the "AI for Social Good" critique reminds us that technology itself is neutral; outcomes depend on governance (Sainato 2015). We observed this in the cases: the same AI platform (image recognition) that powered PlantVillage could be used in consumer apps without social impact, whereas intentional design made it a tool for farmers (Brewster 2016; PlantVillage, n.d.). Thus firms must cultivate a culture where innovation is linked to purpose. Leadership must ask "for whose benefit" at every step. In short, AI governance in corporate strategy means embedding SDG-awareness into research and development pipelines, setting targets beyond profit (e.g. "reduce X tonnes of water use"), and reporting on social outcomes as rigorously as financial ones (National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016; OECD, 2019). The UN Global Compact explicitly challenges companies to use AI to "maximize their contributions" to the 2030 Agenda (National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016). Our cases show that such contributions can be concrete (e.g. fewer liters of water wasted, fewer crop failures) when governance aligns incentives with sustainability.

Implications for Management Education

Preparing future managers and executives for this AI-driven world is critical. Our analysis suggests several educational imperatives.

1. Interdisciplinary Curriculum: Business schools must break silos between technology, ethics, and sustainability. Students should learn the technical basics of AI (how machine learning models work, their data needs) alongside courses in corporate strategy, SDG frameworks, and ethics (Agrawal et al 2018; National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016). Case studies like those above can be integrated into teaching: students might simulate an AI deployment project, evaluating both the data modeling and the social impacts. This reflects a broader trend: the UN SDG Academy and others now stress "AI literacy" as part of sustainable development education (National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016). Indeed, faculty are increasingly drawing on real projects. For example, engineering and management students at Penn State and EPFL have collaborated on PlantVillage data, gaining hands-on AI experience while serving farmers (PlantVillage, n.d.).

2. Ethical and Systems Thinking: Educators must emphasize that "human decisions drive progress" even with advanced tech. Future leaders should be trained to anticipate unintended consequences of AI (algorithmic bias, privacy invasion) and to use role-play and case debate to prepare for ethical dilemmas (OECD, 2019; Sainato 2015).

3. Experiential Learning and Hackathons: Programs should give students opportunities to participate in innovation challenges. Hackathons (like the one Peter Ma joined) are valuable experiential platforms where tech-savvy students collaborate on real-world problems under time pressure (Lant 2018). Business schools could partner with tech departments or local governments to sponsor "SDG hackathons," letting students work on applications similar to Plant Village or Clean Water AI.

4. Digital Fluency and Lifelong Learning: As the UN Global Compact advises, leaders must upskill teams in digital and ethical literacy (National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016). Thus management programs should include ongoing training on emerging tools (e.g. AI-driven data dashboards, NLP tools) and their societal implications. Modules on "AI in ESG reporting" could show how neural networks can parse company reports or satellite imagery to track sustainability metrics (Agrawal et al 2018; Spagnuolo et al 2024).

5. Cultural Change and Leadership Mindset: Education must foster leaders who see technology as neither a panacea nor a threat, but a tool shaped by values. Instructors can encourage students to question whether AI is always the best solution, and how technological benefits reach the marginalized (National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016; OECD 2019).

In sum, management education must evolve to produce "sustainability-competent" leaders comfortable at the nexus of AI, ethics, and global policy. The case studies here provide concrete material for teaching and experiential modules (PlantVillage, n.d.; Lant 2018; Hill 2018).

Conclusion

Artificial intelligence is a powerful, accelerating force in the global economy and society, and its integration with sustainability is already underway. As our case studies illustrate, AI can "dramatically speed up our transition to a just, inclusive and sustainable world" if thoughtfully applied (National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016). In water management, AI enables more efficient use of a vital resource (advancing SDG 6). In agriculture, it democratizes expert knowledge for millions of farmers (addressing SDG 2 and SDG 10). In health and sanitation, it makes high-tech diagnostics affordable and ubiquitous (supporting SDG 3). These successes depend on deliberate corporate strategy and governance: companies and institutions must build the data infrastructure and partnerships that allow AI to benefit all stakeholders, not just tech owners (OECD, 2019; National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016).

Yet AI will not automatically solve sustainability challenges. Our analysis echoes warnings from scholars and pioneers: technology reflects human choices (Sainato, 2015). Without equity-focused governance, AI could concentrate gains and magnify inequities. The literature emphasizes that human leadership remains paramount: "the most critical decisions remain human decisions" even in an era of AI (Agrawal et al 2018). Organizations must therefore establish transparent AI policies, ethical review processes, and inclusive innovation ecosystems. Global institutions (UN, OECD) are already calling for precisely these measures, urging that AI lifecycle design respect human rights, the environment, and democratic values (National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016; OECD 2019).

The alignment of AI and the SDGs is an emerging and urgent field of action. Corporations can contribute by embedding SDG targets into their R&D and by sharing technologies (e.g. open-source tools like PlantVillage) (PlantVillage, n.d.). Governments can support with incentives, education funding, and regulation that steers

AI toward common-good applications. Civil society and academia must continue to scrutinize AI projects, advocate for the underserved, and prepare the next generation of leaders. In short, achieving global sustainability in the AI era will require a collaborative, multi-stakeholder effort.

Looking ahead, AI may spawn entirely new industries and ways of working – some benign, some disruptive. But even as we pioneer these technologies, we must remain guided by the vision of the SDGs: ending poverty, preserving the planet, and fostering prosperity for all. AI is not a silver bullet – but it is a powerful tool. If governments, businesses, educators, and citizens work together, AI can be harnessed to advance human development while mitigating its risks. The choice of path is ours. As one expert puts it, the real challenge "lies not only in what AI can do, but in what we, as a global community, choose to do with it" (Agrawal et al., 2018; National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan, 2016).

References

Agrawal A, Gans J & Goldfarb A (2018). *Prediction machines: The simple economics of artificial intelligence*. Harvard Business Review Press.

Arpaci I (2020). What drives students' online self-disclosure behaviour on social media? A hybrid SEM and artificial intelligence approach. *International Journal of Mobile Communications, 18*(2), 229–241.

Barnett V (2002). Which was the "real" Kondratiev: 1925 or 1928? *Journal of the History of Economic Thought, 24*(4), 475–478.

Brewster S (2016, November 7). Wilting shrubs? Diagnose plant diseases with an app. *MIT Technology Review*.

Cairns M L (2017). Computers in education: The impact on schools and classrooms. In *Life Schools Classrooms* (pp. 603–617). Springer.

Chassignol M, Khoroshavin A, Klimova A & Bilyatdinova A (2018). Artificial intelligence trends in education: A narrative overview. *Procedia Computer Science*.

Cosgrove W J & Loucks D P (2015). Water management: Current and future challenges and research directions. *Water Resources Research*.

Flamm K (1988). *Creating the computer: Government, industry, and high technology*. Brookings Institution Press.

Hill T (2018, March 5). How artificial intelligence is reshaping the water sector. *Water Finance & Management*.

Kaneshige T & Hong D (2018). Predictions 2019: This is the year to invest in humans, as backlash against chatbots and AI begins. *(Publisher not specified).*

Kaufman D (2018, October 18). Watch out workers, algorithms are coming to replace you – maybe. (Interview with Yuval Noah Harari). *New York Times – Business Day*.

Lant K (2018, May 3). Clean Water AI puts prevention of waterborne disease in the palm of your hand. *Environmental Monitor*.

Lohr S (2018, October 19). From agriculture to art – the AI wave sweeps in. *New York Times – Business Day*.

Marr B (2018). What is artificial intelligence and how will it change our world? *(Publisher/URL not specified).*

National Artificial Intelligence Research and Development Strategic Plan. (2016). Executive Office of the President of the United States, National Science and Technology Council, and Networking and Information Technology Research and Development Subcommittee.

OECD. (2019). *OECD principles on artificial intelligence: Recommendation of the Council on Artificial Intelligence*. OECD Publishing.

Penn F (2017). *Stephen Hawking: Self-evolving artificial intelligence (AI) has a*

DOI: https://doi.org/10.51220/jmr.v21-S2.30

free will & may destroy humanity .

PlantVillage. (n.d.). *PlantVillage About Us* (project documentation and press releases).

Sainato M (2015). Stephen Hawking, Elon Musk, and Bill Gates warn about artificial intelligence. *The Observer*.

Schwab K (2018). *Future of jobs report 2018*. Centre for the New Economy and Society, World Economic Forum.

Spagnuolo M et al. (2024). *Empirical study on corporate AI adoption and SDG outcomes* (Article, 2024).